

EU GMP vs. FDA GMP — Dual-Market Gap Map

A companion reference to the deep dive at intrepidscientific.com/eu-gmp-vs-fda-gmp

The two systems agree on roughly 70–80% of substance — both anchored in the shared ICH guidelines — and diverge structurally in a handful of consequential places. This map lays the two rulebooks side by side across two dozen quality-system dimensions. Rows in teal are the deltas that actually change how you build; muted rows are already aligned. The last column gives the one move that satisfies both regulators: build a single quality system to the highest common bar, with explicit hooks for the jurisdiction-specific deltas.

Reference for triage and prioritization — not a legal compliance determination. Verify against the current CFR, EudraLex, and ICH text. © 2026 Intrepid Scientific, LLC.

Dimension	FDA GMP	EU GMP	The delta	Build once — to the highest bar
GOVERNANCE & QUALITY SYSTEM				
Regulatory architecture	Prescriptive rules — 21 CFR 210/211 (FD&C Act)	Principle-based — EudraLex Vol. 4 Part I + Annexes; Dir. 2001/83/EC	“What” vs “how”: the CFR prescribes; the EU sets principles elaborated in numbered annexes	Build to annex-level detail; map each control to both the CFR section and the EudraLex chapter/annex.
Pharmaceutical Quality System	Quality unit; ICH Q10 aligned but not independently mandated (§211.22)	PQS mandated — EudraLex Ch. 1; ICH Q10	Q10 is explicitly required in the EU; in the US it is expected but not codified	Implement a full ICH Q10 PQS as the baseline — it meets the FDA quality-unit expectation and the EU mandate at once.
Quality risk management	ICH Q9 expected	ICH Q9 mandated (Ch. 1)	Mandated vs expected	Make formal QRM (Q9) standard practice, not ad hoc.
PEOPLE & BATCH RELEASE				
Qualified Person (QP)	Institutional quality unit releases; no named individual, no personal statutory liability (§211.22)	A named QP must certify every batch before release — Dir. 2001/83/EC Arts. 48–52; Annex 16	The single largest structural difference — personal legal accountability vested in one individual	Appoint or contract a QP and build the certification step; run dual-path batch documentation for dual-market lots.
Independence / segregation of duties	Implicit via quality-unit independence	Explicit — QP independence and segregation of duties	Explicit vs implicit	Document independence and segregation of duties regardless of market.
Personnel qualification & training	§211.25 — training, education, experience	Ch. 2 — personnel; training records	<i>Aligned</i>	Keep training records to EU Ch. 2 depth.
FACILITIES & CONTAMINATION CONTROL				
Facilities & environment	§211.42–58; classified areas as needed	Ch. 3; Annex 1 for sterile	<i>Aligned in principle</i>	Design classified areas to Annex 1.
Contamination Control Strategy	Aseptic Processing guidance (2004); expectation less formalized	Revised Annex 1 (2022) mandates a documented, holistic Contamination Control Strategy	A written CCS is a hard EU requirement; the FDA expectation is less formalized	Author one holistic CCS — it exceeds the FDA bar and satisfies Annex 1.
VALIDATION & DATA INTEGRITY				
Equipment qualification	§211.63–72; IQ/OQ/PQ	Ch. 3; Annex 15	<i>Aligned</i>	Qualify to Annex 15.
Process validation vocabulary	Three-stage lifecycle — Process Validation guidance (2011)	Annex 15 DQ/IQ/OQ/PQ model	Equivalent concepts, different vocabulary and deliverables	One validation package, cross-referenced to both frameworks.

Dimension	FDA GMP	EU GMP	The delta	Build once — to the highest bar
Documentation & records	§211.180–198; batch records	Ch. 4 — documentation	<i>Aligned</i>	Keep batch records to Ch. 4.
Data integrity (ALCOA+)	21 CFR Part 11; DI guidance (2018)	Annex 11; MHRA/EMA DI guidance	Parallel regimes, near-equivalent ALCOA+ expectations	One ALCOA+ framework satisfying Part 11 and Annex 11 together.
SPECIFICATIONS & TESTING				
Analytical method validation	USP <1225>; ICH Q2(R2)	ICH Q2(R2); Ph. Eur.	<i>Aligned (ICH)</i>	Validate to ICH Q2(R2).
Elemental impurities & residual solvents	ICH Q3D; USP <232>/<233>; ICH Q3C	ICH Q3D; Ph. Eur. 2.4.20; ICH Q3C	<i>Aligned (ICH)</i>	Apply the full risk-based ICH panels (24-element Q3D, Q3C classes).
API manufacturing	ICH Q7	ICH Q7 (EudraLex Part II)	<i>Aligned (ICH Q7)</i>	Run one Q7 system for active substances.
PRODUCT LIFECYCLE				
Stability / shelf-life	ICH Q1A–E; expiry dating	ICH Q1; shelf-life justified	<i>Aligned (ICH)</i>	Run one ICH Q1 stability program.
Out-of-specification handling	FDA OOS guidance; Barr ruling; §211.192	Ch. 1 / 6 — OOS investigation	<i>Aligned in principle</i>	Structured OOS investigation — no invalidation without cause.
Batch release mechanics	Quality-unit disposition (§211.22)	QP certification gate (Annex 16)	Different accountability models — dual-market lots need dual-path release records	Design a release workflow with an explicit QP hook for EU-bound lots.
SUPPLY CHAIN & DISTRIBUTION				
Supplier / material qualification	§211.84 — internal QC-unit approval	Ch. 5; Annex 8 — written technical/quality agreements with QP oversight	The EU emphasizes written quality agreements and explicit QP oversight	Put written quality agreements in place, with QP hooks.
Good Distribution Practice (GDP)	No standalone federal GDP regime	Named GDP regime — Guidelines 2013/C 343/01	The EU has a separate, enforceable distribution rulebook	Adopt EU GDP for any EU-bound distribution.
Product-classification carve-outs	Foods / supplements segmented into 21 CFR 111 / 117	Unified medicinal-products framework	Structural classification difference	Confirm each product's classification per market before mapping controls.
OVERSIGHT				
Inspection & audit	FDA pre-approval & routine GMP inspections	NCA GMP inspections; EudraGMDP	<i>Aligned — the EU–US MRA can reduce duplicate inspections</i>	Stay inspection-ready to both; leverage the MRA.
Recall / market withdrawal	21 CFR 7 — FDA-coordinated recalls	Ch. 8; EU rapid alert system	<i>Aligned in principle</i>	One recall SOP mapped to both.
Pharmacovigilance	FAERS; 21 CFR 314 safety reporting	EudraVigilance; GVP	Parallel systems	Report safety data to both databases.

Build once. Build to the highest bar.

Intrepid has run 100+ GMP engagements across both regimes.

Talk to us about an EU GACP + GMP Gap Assessment (export readiness) — from \$10,000 remote. · intrepidscientific.com/contact